

To: Rodriguez, Dante[Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov]
From: Jeryl Gardner
Sent: Fri 10/13/2017 5:01:45 PM
Subject: RE: Yerington OU-7 call or meeting

....
>>>>
Hi Dante,

Thanks!

I am thinking the primary topic for smaller group discussion (the RPMs, but minus Dave Seter since this is just OU-7-centric) is: next steps details, particularly for Phase II sampling, refining number of samples, purpose and objectives, identifying property/access challenges, and timing of the work.
It's also possible we could have the statistics discussion, maybe invite the two statisticians to participate for 1/2 hour of the call; that's another topic probably not helpful to have tribes participate in.
All the other topics we outlined yesterday in our call seem appropriate for the larger group.

Thoughts?

Thanks,

Jeryl R. Gardner, P.E., C.E.M.
Supervisor, Abandoned Mine Lands Branch
Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP
775-687-9484
jgardner@ndep.nv.gov

From: Rodriguez, Dante [Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 9:54 AM
To: Jeryl Gardner; Oman, Jack
Cc: John Batchelder; Seter, David; 'Davis, David' (drdavis@blm.gov)
Subject: RE: Yerington OU-7 call or meeting

Gents,

I concur with doing a call on the 23rd. I'll set it up for 10-12p.

Jeryl,

What did you have in mind for topics for a separate ARC-agencies call? I'll go ahead and set up a call for the 18th to discuss ARC-agency topics, but am looking for further specificity from you regarding topics. I am assuming such a call would be mostly for just project managers? (you, myself, DaveD, Jack & JohnB). If we can hone the topic, we can determine which others, if any, would be needed.

Dante Rodriguez

Remedial Project Manager

U.S. EPA Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street, SFD-8-2

San Francisco, CA 94105

(415)972-3166

From: Jeryl Gardner [mailto:JGARDNER@ndep.nv.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 9:45 AM
To: Oman, Jack ; Rodriguez, Dante
Cc: John Batchelder ; Seter, David ; 'Davis, David' (drdavis@blm.gov)
Subject: RE: Yerington OU-7 call or meeting

Hi Jack,

Since Dave prefers waiting on an OU-4 discussion, I think a 2-hr call will suffice, and I am fine with the 23rd.

And, I think we should consider some of the potential topics as agency-ARC topics, and unnecessary for the tribes to participate in. We could schedule a call with just us on the 18th, then maybe have a call again on the 23rd that could include the tribes.

Dante, what do you think, and which do you think works best, call(s) or meeting, for which topics?

Thanks,

Jeryl

Jeryl R. Gardner, P.E., C.E.M.
Supervisor, Abandoned Mine Lands Branch
Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP
775-687-9484
jgardner@ndep.nv.gov

From: Oman, Jack [Jack.Oman@bp.com]
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 9:24 AM
To: Dante Rodriguez (rodriguez.dante@epa.gov); Jeryl Gardner
Cc: John Batchelder; Seter, David; 'Davis, David' (drdavis@blm.gov)
Subject: Yerington OU-7 call or meeting

Dante and Jeryl,

Following up on our conversation yesterday, I can be available for a call or meeting on October 23rd. October 18th will be more problematic for a meeting but I should be able to accommodate a call. After thinking about the agenda items we discussed yesterday, I do believe a 2-hour call would provide adequate time to discuss the topics as I understand them. Please let me know soon

if the agencies feel that travel and meeting is absolutely required so that my team and I can make necessary arrangements.

Thanks,

-jack